

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,653	KONDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anatoly Vortman	2835

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU2835. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Brian W. Hannon, Reg. No. 32,778. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 January 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Examiner has telephoned the Applicant's attorney Mr. Brian W. Hannon in order to accommodate the request for an interview presented in Amendment filed on 11/30/05 (see p. 8). The attorney has indicated that he will call back upon retrieval of the application file.

However, the attorney did not return the call, so the Examiner has telephoned the attorney's Office again in an attempt to conduct the interview as requested, and had a conversation with the attorney's secretary. The secretary has indicated that the application file has been retrieved and the attorney will call back shortly.

However, as by the end of the day on 01/06/06 the attorney did not return the call. Hence, the Examiner has called the attorney's Office again on 01/06/06 at 05:15 pm. and left a message indicating that the final Office action has been issued.



ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER